REMARKS

According to some embodiments of the present invention, a streaming video programming guide may be implemented as a graphical user face displayed on a monitor or television screen that is associated with a processor-based system. The guide may have arranged, for selection and viewing, a plurality of representations of streaming video files. In some embodiments, a search engine automatically and periodically searches the Internet for streaming video files to automatically collect the streaming video files. In particular, in some embodiments, the user may provide categories of topical interest so that the search engine may search the Internet for streaming video files for example by using a keyword search. The search engine may also utilize the keywords to assemble the graphical user interface for the streaming video programming guide. For example, a user may provide the keywords "current news," "sports," and "Celine Dion." The keywords may be used to search the Internet for streaming video files and as categories in the programming guide to organize representations of selected streaming video programming files.

None of Compton, Agnihotri, or Reilly disclose or suggest a graphical user interface (GUI)-based streaming video programming guide that is customized according to user-provided keywords.

For example, in the Office action, the examiner concedes that Compton does not disclose selecting streaming video files based on a text search using keywords, or organizing streaming video files by categories for display. Office action, page 3. Moreover, Compton does not provide web-based links to a user in any particular manner. Column 4, lines 30-42.

Agnihotri fails to cure the deficiency of Compton. Namely, Agnihotri does not generate a graphical user interface for a streaming video programming guide with representations of selected streaming video files organized by keyword categories.

Similarly, Reilly fails to cure the deficiencies of Compton and Agnihotri. Specifically, there is no link in Reilly between a user-provided keyword and category indicators. For example, in Reilly news categories are generic information categories e.g., weather, sports, health, etc. *See*, Fig. 10. To view a news story the user selects one of the *generic* category buttons. Nowhere is it shown that Reilly has the ability to display news stories organized by the very keyword that was entered by a user. In contrast, in some embodiments of the present invention, representations of streaming video files are automatically displayed in a programming

6

guide and are organized by a visual representation of the user's provided keyword. There is no indication that Reilly provides a customized programming guide with categories that correspond to keywords provided by a user. As such, none of the cited references alone or in combination disclose or suggest what the applicant has done. For this reason, the claims distinguish over the cited references.

In view of the amendment and remarks herein, reconsideration of each of the rejections is requested. As the application is in condition for allowance, the examiner's prompt action in accordance therewith is respectfully requested. The commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees, including extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to the deposit account 20-1504 (ITL.0409US).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 13, 2005

Rhonda L. Sheldon, Reg. No. 50,457
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Suite 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]

Attorneys For Intel Corporation

Customer No.: 21906